CSC2/455 Software Analysis and Improvement Interprocedural Analyses - II

Sreepathi Pai

URCS

April 10, 2019



Interprocedural Analyses

Interprocedural Points-to Analysis

Postscript

Outline

Interprocedural Analyses

Interprocedural Points-to Analysis

Postscript

▲ロト ▲御ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三ヨ - わえの

Strategies for handling effects of functions

Can we reuse our CFG-based analyses to handle function calls?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Inlining, perhaps?

What are the limitations of inlining?

Call graphs

Basic graph structure for analysis

- Nodes for each procedure (and/or call site)
- Edge connect nodes from caller to callee
- Various definitions possible
 - Your three textbooks have three different definitions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Primary Purpose

Capture how control flows at the procedure level

Context-insensitive analysis

Treat function calls as control flow

- Functions form node in CFG
- Invocations are treated as "gotos" to entry of function
- The returns as goto to location after function call
- This results in CFG with:
 - multi-entry nodes
 - multi-exit nodes that are not branches (example in a few slides)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Example

```
for(i = 0; i < n; i++) {
c1: t1 = f(0);
c2: t2 = f(243);
c3: t3 = f(243);
        X[i] = t1 + t2 + t3;
}
int f(int v) {
    return (v+1);
}</pre>
```

Is the value of X[i] constant?

CFG for Example



values for:
v into f
retval out of f
t1, t2 and t3?
X[i]?

< □ > < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□) < (□

Context-sensitivity

- In treating function calls as control-flow, we lost the ability to detect context
- Context is the call stack for the function
 - Can be treated as a string, called a call string
 - If c1 calls c2, which then calls c3, the context for c3 is (c1, c2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

- How many contexts can there be?
 - consider indirect function calls
 - consider recursive functions
- k-limiting context sensitivity
 - Limit context to k immediate call sites (important!)
 - 0 is context-insensitive

Cloning-based Context-Sensitive Analysis

```
for(i = 0; i < n; i++) {</pre>
c1: t1 = f1(0);
c2: t2 = f2(243);
c3: t3 = f3(243);
        X[i] = t1 + t2 + t3:
   }
   int f1(int v) {
      return (v+1);
   }
   int f2(int v) {
      return (v+1);
  }
   int f3(int v) {
      return (v+1);
   }
```

- Create a clone for each unique calling context and then apply context-insensitive analysis
- Is this the same as inlining?
 - See textbook for a differentiating example

k-level Context-Sensitive Analysis

```
for(i = 0; i < n; i++) {</pre>
c1: t1 = g(0);
c2: t2 = g(243);
c3: t3 = g(243);
        X[i] = t1 + t2 + t3;
  }
   int g(int v) {
      if(v > 1)
         return f(v);
       else
         return (v+1);
   }
   int f(int v) {
      return (v+2);
   }
```

To what depth shall we clone functions?

k-level Context-sensitive analysis

A function call may be distinguished by its context

- Calling functions or
- Call-sites (i.e. call stack)
- If we do not distinguish contexts,
 - context-insensitive
 - ► *k* = 0
- Different values of k may yield different precision

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

- No value of k may be sufficient
 - recursive function calls
 - indirect function calls

Some numbers

If there are N functions in a program, how many calling contexts are possible

- if no recursion is involved?
- if recursion is involved?

Handling Recursion in Contexts

- Consider nodes in a call graph
 - non-recursive functions
 - self-recursive functions
 - mutually recursive functions
- Look for strongly-connected components
 - trivial (non-recursive)
 - non-trivial (the latter two)



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- E

Methods to "finitize" Recursion



(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

Model them using regular expressions
 f(g h i)*j
 Eliminate all call information within SCC

🕨 f g j

Have contexts, will analyze!

Cloning-based analysis

- Clone functions, once per context
- Followed by context-insensitive analysis
- Summary-based analysis
 - (Bottom-up phase) Compute summaries of each function for an analysis (e.g. constant propagation) in terms of input parameters
 - (Top-down phase) Pass inputs to summaries, one per context OR merge contexts using meet operator

See textbook for details

Dynamic Call Graph Construction

```
class t {
    t n() { return new r(); } /* call site g */
}
class s extends t {
    t n() { return new s(); } /* call site h */
}
class r extends s {
    t n() { return new r(); } /* call site i */
}
main() {
    t a = new t();
                             /* call site j */
    a = a.n();
}
```

What is a potential call graph for a.n() from the points-to relationships?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Outline

Interprocedural Analyses

Interprocedural Points-to Analysis

Postscript

Recall how we compute and update pointsTo sets from last class...

Flavours

Flow-sensitive/Flow-insensitive

- Context-insensitive
- Context-sensitive
 - Cloning-based
 - Summary-based

What the textbook describes

- Flow-insensitive
- Context-sensitive
 - With non-trivial SCCs treated as a single node
- Cloning-based

Additionally, the Dragon book formulates the points-to analysis as a (datalog) logical formula to be solved.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Outline

Interprocedural Analyses

Interprocedural Points-to Analysis

Postscript

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★ 三▶ ★ 三▶ 三三 - の Q (0)

References

- Chapter 12 of the Dragon Book
- Paper recommended:
 - Reps et al. "Precise interprocedural dataflow analysis via graph reachability"